UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,958	10/31/2003	Udo Klein	16104-013001 / 8797 · 2003P00608	
32864 FISH & RICHA	7590 12/17/200 ARDSON, P.C.	7	EXAMINER	
PO BOX 1022			WEI, ZHENG	
MINNEAPOLI	S, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2192	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			4
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u>.</u>
Advisory Action	10/698,958	KLEIN, UDO	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Zheng Wei	2192	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	lress
 THE REPLY FILED <u>13 August 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final reject	ion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	e on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ktension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig er than three months after the mailing da).	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Off te of the final rejection,	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beauppeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a 	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); etter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); ducing or simplifying	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))	•		
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a):		
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed-amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-23.		ll be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the affidat	vit or other evidence i	is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a (1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered b See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

13. Other: ____.

The Applicants have submitted that "no prior art of record discloses or shows the detection of [a presented user message], or storing of information that documents the presented user message" (page 6, last paragraph) and "Baber is directed to processing of message [before presenting them to a user] and does not detect that a message is presented to a user." (page 10 lines 1-2) [emphasis added]. However, it should be noted that the plain language of the claim 1 merely called for "[detecting that a program] presents a user message to a user in a computer system [where the program is being executed]" [emphasis added]. It does not necessary require the message should be processed after presenting to the user during the program execution. Baber as cited in previous office action, page number 3-4, rejection for claim 1, does disclose about detecting, processing, recording and presenting to user. Therefore, the Applicant's argument against Baber's reference about detecting/processing before a message being presented to a user is insufficient to overcome the rejection. Thus, the claims will stand rejected and arguments/amendment will be entered.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER